

## REMARKS

The Office Action dated May 24, 2006 has been fully considered by the Applicant. The Examiner has therein set forth a restriction requirement dividing the pending claims into three separate inventions -- Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 (drawn to a method for establishing balanced occlusion and product-by-process), Claim 6 (drawn to a special tooth) and Claim 7 (drawn to a central bearing device).

Additionally, new independent Claim 9 has been added also drawn to the invention of a special tooth.

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement on the basis that all of the claims could be simply and easily considered together. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Applicant elects Claim 6 drawn to a special tooth. Applicant withdraws Claims 1 through 5, 7 and 8 without prejudice to its rights to file a divisional or continuation application or applications.

It is believed that the foregoing is fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action. It is submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark G. Kachigian  
Registration No. 32,840  
HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN  
228 West 17<sup>th</sup> Place  
Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74119  
(918) 587-2000  
Attorneys for Applicant

Date: June 22, 2006